arXiv:0711.4190v1 [math.AP] 27 Nov 2007

# ON DISPERSION FOR KLEIN GORDON EQUATION WITH PERIODIC POTENTIAL IN 1D

Scipio Cuccagna

Abstract. By exploiting estimates on Bloch functions obtained in a previous paper, we prove decay estimates for Klein Gordon equations with a time independent potential periodic in space in 1D and with generic mass.

## §1 Introduction

We consider Schrödinger operators of the form $H = H_0 + P(x)$ with, $H_0 = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$, $P(x)$ a smooth nonconstant real valued periodic function, $P(x+1) \equiv P(x)$, with spectrum $\Sigma(H) = \cup_{n\geq 0}\Sigma_n$, formed by bands $\Sigma_n = [A_n^+, A_{n+1}^-]$ with $A_n^+ < A_{n+1}^- \leq A_{n+1}^+$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$. We normalize $H$ so that $A_0^+ = 0$. We then show:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Under the above hypotheses consider for $\mu > 0$ the solutions of the following Cauchy problem for the Klein Gordon equation*

$$u_{tt} + Hu + \mu u = 0\,, \quad u(0,x) \equiv 0\,, \quad u_t(0,x) = g(x). \tag{1.1}$$

*Then there exists a bounded discrete set $\mathbb{D} \subset (0,+\infty)$ such that for any $\mu \in (0,+\infty)\backslash\mathbb{D}$ there is a $C_\mu > 0$ such that the following dispersive estimate holds:*

$$\|u(t,\cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq C_\mu \langle t\rangle^{-\frac{1}{3}} \|g(\cdot)\|_{W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R})}. \tag{1.2}$$

Maybe $\mathbb{D}$ is empty. The exact condition defining $\mathbb{D}$ is given in Lemma 3.1 below. The proof is based on results in [C] where proofs are explicitly done only for the generic case when all the spectral gaps are nonempty. Since the generic case contains all the crucial difficulties, there is no problem at extending the results in [C] to the non generic case, and we will assume this as a fact (and if this is unconvincing the reader can assume that $\ell_n = n$ below). To illustrate Theorem 1.1 consider $P(x) = 2\kappa^2 \mathrm{sn}^2(x,\kappa)$ for $\kappa \in (0,1)$, with $\mathrm{sn}(x,\kappa)$ the Jacobian elliptic function. Then $\Sigma(H) = [\kappa^2, 1]\cup[1+\kappa^2, +\infty)$ and by Theorem 1.1 for generic $\mu > -\kappa^2$ we get (1.2). Notice that for $\mu = 0$ this example resembles the flat Klein Gordon rather

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}}\mathcal{S}$-TEX

than the flat wave equation, because we have $A_0^+ = \kappa^2 > 0$. For $H = H_0$ the equation $u_{tt} + Hu - |u|^p = 0$ for any $p > 1$ is not globally well posed for small initial data in $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ while if $p \gg 1$ this is not the case for $H$ with $P(x) = 2\kappa^2 \mathrm{sn}^2(x, \kappa)$ or $P(x) = \sin^2(x)$. In the latter case all the gaps are non empty. The proof in this paper mixes results from [C] with a specific computation in Marshall *et al.* [MSW], specifically Lemma 5 therein.

## §2 Reformulation, spectrum, band and Bloch functions

We will prove:

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let $H$ be as in Theorem 1.1, that is with a smooth periodic potential, and such that $A_0^+ = 0$. Then, there is a set $\mathbb{D}$ like in Theorem 1.1 such that for any $\mu \in (0, +\infty)\backslash\mathbb{D}$ there is a $C_\mu > 0$ such that the following dispersive estimate holds:*

$$\left\| \sin(t\sqrt{H+\mu})(H+\mu)^{-\frac{3}{4}} : L^1(\mathbb{R}) \to L^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \right\| \leq C_\mu \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{1}{3}}. \tag{2.1}$$

The $u$ in (1.1) is, for $1/4 > \varepsilon > 0$, $G = (H+\mu)^{\frac{1}{4}}(H_0+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$ and $h = (H_0+1)^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} g$, $u(t) =$

$$\frac{\sin(t\sqrt{H+\mu})}{(H+\mu)^{\frac{3}{4}}} Gh \Rightarrow \|u(t)\|_\infty \leq \left\| \frac{\sin(t\sqrt{H+\mu})}{(H+\mu)^{\frac{3}{4}}} \right\|_{L^1 \to L^\infty} \|G\|_{L^1 \to L^1} \|h\|_1.$$

We have $\|h\|_1 \leq C\|g\|_{W^{1,1}}$, $\|G\|_{L^1 \to L^1} \leq C(\mu)$, with $C(0) = O(1)$, so (2.1) implies (1.2). (2.1) is a consequence of the following estimate:

**Proposition 2.2.** *There is a set $\mathbb{D}$ like in Theorems 1.1-2 such that for any $\mu \in (0, +\infty)\backslash\mathbb{D}$ there is a $C_\mu > 0$ such that the following estimate holds for any $(t, x, y)$:*

$$\left| \left( \sin(t\sqrt{H+\mu})(H+\mu)^{-\frac{3}{4}} \right)(x, y) \right| \leq C_\mu \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{1}{3}}. \tag{2.2}$$

We will prove Proposition 2.2 in the case when the spectrum as $\Sigma(H)$ is formed by infinitely many bands, the finitely many bands case being easier. To prove (2.2) we express the integral kernel in (2.2) in terms of Bloch functions, see below. We express $\Sigma(H) = \cup_{n=0}^\infty \Sigma_n$, with $\Sigma_n = [A_n^+, A_{n+1}^-]$ with $A_n^+ < A_{n+1}^- \leq A_{n+1}^+$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, with $A_0^+ = 0$. Set for $n \geq 0$, $a_n^\pm = \sqrt{A_n^\pm}$ and $a_{-n}^\pm = -a_n^\pm$. For $n \geq 0$ set $\sigma_n = [a_n^+, a_{n+1}^-]$ and $\sigma_{-n} = -\sigma_n$. Set $\sigma = \cup_{n=-\infty}^\infty \sigma_n$, with each two intervals $\sigma_n$ and $\sigma_{n+1}$ separated by a non empty gap $g_n$. For $|g_n|$ the length of the gap $g_n$ we have the following classical result, see [E] ch. 4:

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let $P(x)$ be smooth. Set $\sigma_n = [a_n^+, a_{n+1}^-]$ and $g_n = ]a_n^-, a_n^+[$. Then $\exists$ a strictly increasing sequence $\{\ell_n \in \mathbb{Z}\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ and a fixed constant $C$ such that*

$$|a_n^- - \ell_n\pi| + |a_n^+ - \ell_n\pi| \le C\langle \ell_n\rangle^{-1}.$$

*$\forall\ N\ \exists$ a fixed constant $C_N$ such that $|g_n| \le C_N\langle\ell_n\rangle^{-N}\ \forall\ n$.*

We review band and Bloch functions. $\forall\ w \in \mathbb{C}_+$ (the open upper half plane) $\exists$ a unique $k \in \mathbb{C}_+$ such that there are two solutions of $(H - w^2)u = 0$ of the form $\tilde\phi_\pm(x,w) = e^{\pm ikx}\xi_\pm(x,w)$ with $\xi_\pm(x+1,w) \equiv \xi_\pm(x,w)$ and with $\tilde\phi_\pm(0,w) = 1$. The correspondence between $w$ and the "quasimomentum" $k$ is a conformal map between $\mathbb{C}_+$ and a "comb" $K = \mathbb{C}_+ - \cup_{n\neq 0}[\ell_n\pi, \ell_n\pi + ih_n]$ with $\ell_n$ satisfying the conclusions of Theorem 2.3, with $|g_n| \le 2h_n \le C|g_n|$ for a fixed $C$. For generic potentials, $\ell_n \equiv n$. The map $k(w)$ extends into a continuous map in $\overline{\mathbb{C}_+}$ with $k(\sigma_n) = [\ell_n\pi, \ell_{n+1}\pi]$, with $k(w)$ a one to one and onto map between $\sigma_n$ and $[\ell_n\pi, \ell_{n+1}\pi]$, and with $k(g_n) = ]\ell_n\pi, \ell_n\pi + ih_n]$. $k(w)$ extends into a conformal map from $\mathbb{C} - \cup_{n\neq 0}\overline{g_n}$ into $\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{C} - \cup_n\gamma_n$ with $\gamma_n = [\ell_n\pi - ih_n, \ell_n\pi + ih_n]$. Next set $N^2(w) = \int_0^1 \tilde\phi_+(x,w)\tilde\phi_-(x,w)dx$. We have $N^2(w) = \int_0^1 \left|\tilde\phi_\pm(x,w)\right|^2 dx > 0$ for $w \in \sigma$, $N^2(w) \neq 0$ for any $w \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\cup_{n\neq 0}\overline{g_n}$. We set $m_+^0(x,w)m_-^0(y,w) = \xi_+(x,w)\xi_-(y,w)N^{-2}(w)$ and $m_\pm^0(x,w) = \xi_\pm^0(x,w)/N(w)$ with $N(w) > 0$ for $w \in \sigma$. We express $w = w(k)$ for $k \in \mathcal{K}$ and with an abuse of notation we write $\phi_\pm(x,k)$ for $\phi_\pm(x,w(k))$ and $m_\pm^0(x,k)$ for $m_\pm^0(x,w(k))$. We call $\phi_\pm(x,k) = e^{\pm ikx}\phi_\pm(x,k)$ Bloch functions. In [C] we had to work with $w$ complex, but here we focus only on $w \in \sigma$. The band function is $E(k) = w^2(k)$. Now we have the following well known fact:

**Theorem 2.4.** *Set $\hat f(k) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_+(y,k)f(y)dy$ for any $k \in \mathbb{R}\backslash\pi\mathbb{Z}$. Then:*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(y)|^2 dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat f(k)|^2 dk, \quad f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_-(x,k)\hat f(k)dk, \quad \widehat{Hf}(k) = E(k)\hat f(k).$$

*In particular we have*

$$\frac{\sin(t\sqrt{H+\mu})}{(H+\mu)^{\frac34}}(x,y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i(x-y)k}\frac{\sin(t\sqrt{E(k)+\mu})}{(E(k)+\mu)^{\frac34}}m_-^0(x,k)m_+^0(y,k)dk. \tag{2.4}$$

We will show that the generalized integral (2.4) is a function which satisfies (2.2).

## §3 Estimates on band and Bloch functions

We set $\dot f = \frac{df}{dk}$, $f' = \frac{df}{dw}$ and $\eta(k) = \sqrt{E(k)+\mu}$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} &\dot\eta = \frac{\dot E}{2(E+\mu)^{\frac12}}, \quad \ddot\eta = \frac{\ddot E}{2(E+\mu)^{\frac12}} - \frac{\dot E^2}{4(E+\mu)^{\frac32}};\\ &\dddot\eta = \frac{\dddot E}{2(E+\mu)^{\frac12}} - \frac{3\dot E\ddot E}{4(E+\mu)^{\frac32}} + \frac{3\dot E^3}{8(E+\mu)^{\frac52}} = \frac{\dddot E}{2(E+\mu)^{\frac12}} - \frac32\dot E\ddot\eta. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

**Lemma 3.1.** *$\exists\ \mathbb{D} \subset (0,+\infty)$, bounded and discrete, such that $\forall\ \mu \in (0,+\infty)\backslash\mathbb{D}$ the system $\ddot{\eta}(k) = \dddot{\eta}(k) = 0$, or equivalently (3.2) below, has no solutions in $\mathbb{R}$:*

$$\ddot{E} = \frac{\dot{E}^2}{2(E+\mu)}, \quad \dddot{E} = 0. \tag{3.2}$$

For the case $A_0^+ = 0$ and $\mu = 0$ see Korotyaev [K1].

*Proof of Lemma 3.1.* We start by focusing on *low energies*. $|E| \le E_0$ implies $|k| \le k_0$ for a fixed $k_0 = k_0(E_0)$. By [K2] we have the following two facts:

**Lemma 3.2.** *(a) On each band, $\dot{E} = 0$ holds exactly at the extremes of the band. (b) On each band, there is exactly one solution of $\ddot{E} = 0$, contained in the interior of each band.*

Here recall we are assuming the bands to be bounded. By (a), for $|k| \le k_0$ (3.2) cannot hold near the extremes of the bands. So there is a fixed $c > 0$, such that, if $k$ is a root of (3.2), then $k$ is in the set, which we denote by $J$, formed by the $k$ whose distance from the nearest edge is at least $c$. $\dddot{E} = 0$ has finitely many solutions in $J$. Indeed, $\dddot{E} \not\equiv 0$, is holomorphic in $\mathcal{K}$ and $\overline{J} \subset \mathcal{K}$. So except for at most finitely $\mu$'s with $\mu > 0$, (3.2) has no solutions for $|k| \le k_0$.

Next we consider Lemma 3.1 in the *high energy* case. Recall $E = w^2$ and assume that (3.2) is satisfied at some value $w_0$. Since $E$ is even we can assume $w_0 > 0$, in particular $w_0 \in [a_n^+, a_{n+1}^-]$. We have $a_n^+ = w((\ell_n\pi)^+)$, with $\ell_n \in \mathbb{N}$. We have the following facts:

**Lemma 3.3.** *(1) There is a fixed $C > 0$ such that for $a_{n+1}^- - C\ell_{n+1}^{\frac{1}{3}}|g_{n+1}|^{\frac{2}{3}} < w \le a_{n+1}^-$ we have $\ddot{E} < 0$.*
*(2) For any given $C_1 \gg 1$ there are $n_0$ and $c_0 > 0$ such that for $n \ge n_0$ and for*

$$a_{n+1}^- - C_1\ell_{n+1}^{\frac{1}{3}}|g_{n+1}|^{\frac{2}{3}} \le w \le a_{n+1}^- - C\ell_{n+1}^{\frac{1}{3}}|g_{n+1}|^{\frac{2}{3}}$$

*we have $|\dddot{E}| \ge c_0\ell_{n+1}^{-1}|g_{n+1}|^{-\frac{2}{3}} \gg 1$.*
*(3) If $a_{n+1}^- < \infty$ then there exists exactly one point $w_1$, $w_1 \in (a_n^+, a_{n+1}^-)$, with $k''(w_1) = 0$. For $w \in [a_n^+, w_1)$ we have $k''(w) < 0$. Furthermore, there are positive constants $C_0$, $C_1$, $C_2$, $\alpha$ such that for any $n \ge n_0$, for any $w > a_{n+1}^- - \alpha$ we have*

$$\frac{C_1}{\langle w\rangle^3} - \frac{\varphi(w)}{4} \ge -k''(w) \ge \frac{C_2}{\langle w\rangle^3} - \frac{C_0\varphi(w)}{4},\ \varphi(w) := \frac{(a_{n+1}^+ - a_{n+1}^-)^2}{|w - a_{n+1}^-|^{\frac{3}{2}}|w - a_{n+1}^+|^{\frac{3}{2}}};$$

*(4) For $a_n^- + |g_n|^{\frac{1}{4}} \le w \le a_{n+1}^- - |g_{n+1}|^{\frac{3}{5}}$ we have $|\dot{w} - 1 + \frac{Q_0}{k^2}| \le C|k|^{-3}$ for a fixed $C$, with $Q_0 := \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 P(x)dx$. Furthermore, $\dot{E} = 2k + O(k^{-2})$ and $E = k^2 + 2Q_0 + O(k^{-2})$ with in either case $|O(k^{-2})| \le Ck^{-2}$ for a fixed $C$.*

(1) is a consequence of Lemma 4.2 [C], (2) is Lemma 4.3 [C]. In (3) the information on the sign of $k''(w)$ is in [K1] and the inequality is in Lemma 7.3 [C]. In (4) the inequalities for $\dot w$ and $\dot E$ are proved in Lemma 7.1 [C], the inequality for $E$ is proved in Lemma 5.4 [C].

We return to Lemma 3.1. $\ddot E > 0$ at $w_0$ by (3.2). By (1) Lemma 3.3, $w_0 < a^-_{n+1} - C\ell^{\frac{1}{3}}_{n+1}|g_{n+1}|^{\frac{2}{3}}$. By $\dddot E = 0$ at $w_0$ and by (2) Lemma 3.3 then $w_0 \le a^-_{n+1} - C_1\ell^{\frac{1}{3}}_{n+1}|g_{n+1}|^{\frac{2}{3}}$ for some $C_1 \gg 1$. By (3) Lemma 3.3 in this region $k'' < 0$. Hence we have the inequality $\ddot E = 2(\dot w)^2 - 2w(\dot w)^3 k'' \ge 2(\dot w)^2$. Suppose $a^+_n + C_1\ell^3_n|g_n| \le w_0$. By (4) Lemma 3.3 we have $\dot w = 1 - \frac{Q_0}{k^2} + O(k^{-3})$. So

$$\ddot E \ge 2(1 - 2\frac{Q_0}{k^2}) + O(k^{-3}). \tag{1}$$

On the other hand for $w_0 = w(k)$ by (4) Lemma 3.3 we have

$$\ddot E = \frac{\dot E^2}{2(E+\mu)} = 2\frac{(k + O(k^{-2}))^2}{k^2 + 2Q_0 + \mu + O(k^{-2})} = 2 - \frac{4Q_0 + \mu}{k^2} + O(k^{-3})$$

The last formula is incompatible with (1) for $\mu \ge \mu_0 > 0$ with $\mu_0$ fixed and for $|k| \gg 1/\mu$. Hence at large energies and for $a^+_n + C_1\ell^3_n|g_n| \le w$, for some fixed $C_1 > 0$, there are no solutions of (3.2). Let $a^+_n \le w \le a^+_n + C_1\ell^3_n|g_n|$. The following lemma, see Lemma 4.3 [C], shows $w_0 \notin \left[a^+_n + c|g_n|, a^+_n + |g_n|^{\frac{3}{5}}\right]$ for $c \gg 1$ fixed:

**Lemma 3.4.** *For $a^+_n + c|g_n| \le w \le a^+_n + |g_n|^{\frac{3}{5}}$ for $c \gg 1$ a fixed large constant, $|\dddot E|$ is very large.*

Finally $w_0 \notin [a^+_n, a^+_n + c|g_n|]$ because by the following lemma, see Lemmas 7.1 and 7.4 [C], and by Lemma 2.3 for $|u - a^+_n| \lesssim |g_n|$ then $\ddot E = \frac{\dot E^2}{2(E+\mu)}$ cannot hold:

**Lemma 3.5.** *For $|u - a^+_n| \le c|g_n|$ for $c > 0$ fixed there are $n_0$, $C_1 > 0$ and $C_2 > 0$ such that for any $n \ge n_0$ we have $|\ddot E| \ge C_1\ell_n|g_n|^{-1}$ and $|\dot E| \le C_2\ell_n\sqrt{\frac{u-a^+_n}{|g_n|}}$.*

Finally for later use we state the following, see Lemma 7.1 [C]:

**Lemma 3.6.** *$\exists\ C_1 > C_2 > 0$ such that $\forall m$ and $\forall w \in \sigma_m = [a^+_m, a^-_{m+1}]$ we have for $A(w) = \frac{|g_m|^2}{(w-a^+_m)^{\frac{1}{2}}(w-a^+_m+|g_m|)^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \frac{|g_{m+1}|^2}{(a^-_{m+1}-w)^{\frac{1}{2}}(a^-_{m+1}-w+|g_{m+1}|)^{\frac{3}{2}}}$*

$$1 + C_2\left(A(w) + \frac{1}{\langle w\rangle^2}\right) \ge k'(w) \ge 1 + C_1A(w).$$

*Correspondingly for $k \in [\ell_m\pi, \ell_{m+1}\pi]$ and for $\dot w = dw/dk$ we have*

$$\frac{1}{1 + C_2\left(A(w) + \frac{1}{\langle w\rangle^2}\right)} \le \dot w \le \frac{1}{1 + C_1A(w)}.$$

## §4 Decomposition of (2.3) and estimates on the single parts

We decompose $\frac{\sin(t\sqrt{H+\mu})}{(H+\mu)^{\frac{3}{2}}}(x,y) = \sum_n K^n(t,x,y)$ with

$$K^n(t,x,y) := \int_{[\ell_n\pi,\ell_{n+1}\pi]} e^{-i(x-y)k}\frac{\sin(t\eta(k))}{\eta^{\frac{3}{2}}(k)}m^0_-(x,k)m^0_+(y,k)dk. \tag{4.1}$$

A basic ingredient in the proof is the stationary phase theorem, see p. 334 [S]:

**Lemma 4.1.** *Suppose $\phi(x)$ is real valued and smooth in $[a,b]$ with $|\phi^{(m)}(x)| \geq c_m > 0$ in $]a,b[$ for $m \geq 1$ . For $m = 1$ assume furthermore that $\phi'(x)$ is monotonic in $]a,b[$. Then we have for $C_m = 5\cdot 2^{m-1} - 2$:*

$$\left|\int_a^b e^{i\mu\phi(x)}\psi(x)dx\right| \leq C_m(c_m\mu)^{-\frac{1}{m}}\left[\min\{|\psi(a)|,|\psi(b)|\} + \int_a^b |\psi'(x)|dx\right].$$

The following two lemmas are special cases of Lemmas 4.4 & 4.5 in [C]:

**Lemma 4.2.** *There are fixed constants $C > 0$, $C_3 > 0$, $\Gamma > 0$ and $c > 0$ such that for all $x$, all $n$ we have :*

*(1) $\forall\, w \in [a_n^+ + C_3\ell_n^5|g_n|, a_{n+1}^- - C_3\ell_{n+1}^5|g_{n+1}|]$ we have $\left|m^0_+(x,k)m^0_-(y,k) - 1\right| \leq \frac{C}{\langle k\rangle}$;*

*(2) $\exists$ fixed $C > 0$ such that $forall\ k \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $\left|m^0_+(x,k)m^0_-(y,k)\right| \leq C$.*

**Lemma 4.3.** *There are fixed constants $C > 0$ and $C_4 > 0$, with $C_4 < C_2$, such that for all $x$, all $n$ we have:*

*(1) for all $a_n^+ + |g_n|^{\frac{1}{4}} \leq k \leq \frac{a_n^+ + a_{n+1}^-}{2}$, then $|\partial_k(m^0_-(x,k)m^0_+(y,k))| \leq \frac{C}{k|k-\pi\ell_n|}$;*

*(2) for all $\frac{a_n^+ + a_{n+1}^-}{2} \leq k \leq a_{n+1}^- - |g_{n+1}|^{\frac{3}{5}}$, then $|\partial_k(m^0_-(x,k)m^0_+(y,k))| \leq \frac{C}{k|k-\pi\ell_{n+1}|}$;*

*(3) for $w$ in the remaining part of $[a_n^+, a_{n+1}^-]$ we have for $m = n$ (resp. $m = n+1$) near $a_n^+$ (resp. $a_{n+1}^-$) $\left|\partial_k(m^0_-(x,k)m^0_+(y,k))\right| \leq \frac{C}{|k-\pi\ell_m|+|g_m|}$.*

By Lemmas 4.1-3 and Lemma 3.1 we conclude:

**Lemma 4.4.** *$\exists\ \mathbb{D} \subset (0,+\infty)$, bounded discrete, such that $\forall\ \mu \in (0,+\infty)\backslash\mathbb{D}$ and and for any $n_0$ bands then there exists a $C = C(\mu, n_0) > 0$ such that for all $x, y$ and for all $t \geq 0$ we have $\left|\sum_{|n|\leq n_0} K_n(t,x,y)\right| \leq C\langle t\rangle^{-\frac{1}{3}}$.*

As a consequence of Lemma 4.4, in order to prove Proposition 2.2 it is enough to look at $K^n$ in (4.1) with large $n$. It is not restrictive to sum over $n \gg 1$. We split further in (4.1). We introduce a smooth, even, compactly supported cutoff $\chi_0(t) \in [0,1]$ with $\chi \equiv 0$ near 1 and $\chi_0 = 1$ near 0. Set $\chi_1 = 1 - \chi_0$. For

$c \gg 1$ fixed we split each $K^n$ in (4.1) as $K^n = \sum_1^5 K_j^n$ partitioning the identity in $\sigma_n = [a_n^+, a_{n+1}^-]$,

$$1_{\sigma_n}(w) = \chi_0(\frac{w-a_n^+}{c|g_n|}) + \chi_1(\frac{w-a_n^+}{c|g_n|})\chi_0(\frac{w-a_n^+}{|g_n|^{\frac{1}{4}}})$$
$$+ \chi_1(\frac{w-a_n^+}{|g_n|^{\frac{1}{4}}})\chi_1(\frac{a_{n+1}^- - w}{|g_{n+1}|^{\frac{3}{5}}}) + \chi_0(\frac{a_{n+1}^- - w}{|g_{n+1}|^{\frac{3}{5}}})\chi_1(\frac{a_{n+1}^- - w}{c|g_{n+1}|}) + \chi_0(\frac{a_{n+1}^- - w}{c|g_{n+1}|}).$$

By $c \gg 1$ we have $\dot{w} \approx 1$ for $w \in [a_n^+ + c|g_n|, a_{n+1}^- - c|g_{n+1}|]$ , Lemma 3.6.

**Lemma 4.5.** *$\exists$ a fixed $C > 0$ s.t. $|K_1^n| \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}}|g_n|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $|K_5^n| \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}}|g_{n+1}|^{\frac{1}{2}}$.*

**Lemma 4.6.** *There are an $\epsilon > 0$ and $C_\epsilon$ such that $|K_2^n| \leq C_\epsilon t^{-\frac{1}{3}}|g_n|^\epsilon$ .*

**Lemma 4.7.** *There are an $\epsilon > 0$ and $C_\epsilon$ such that $|K_4^n| \leq C_\epsilon t^{-\frac{1}{3}}|g_{n+1}|^\epsilon$.*

Lemmas 4.5-7 imply $\sum_n \sum_{j\neq 3, j=1}^5 |K_j^n(t,x,y)| \leq C \max\{t^{-\frac{1}{3}}, t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\}$. Turning to $K_3^n$, set $K_3 = \sum_n K_3^n$. The following lemma completes the proof of Proposition 2.2:

**Lemma 4.8.** *There is a fixed $C$ such that $|K_3(t,x,y)| \leq C\langle t\rangle^{-\frac{1}{3}}$.*

We prove Lemmas 4.5-7 in §5 and Lemma 4.9 in §6.

## §5 Proof of Lemmas 4.5-7

For all the $j \neq 3$ and for $\psi(k)$ the corresponding cutoff, we consider

$$H_j^n(t,x,y) = \int_{[\ell_n\pi, \ell_{n+1}\pi]} e^{-i(x-y)k \pm it\eta(k)} m_-^0(x,k) m_+^0(y,k) \eta^{-\frac{3}{2}}(k)\psi(k)dk.$$

Lemma 4.5 is an immediate consequence of:

**Lemma 5.1.** *$\exists$ a fixed $C$ such that $|H_1^n| \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}}|g_n|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $|H_5^n| \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}}|g_{n+1}|^{\frac{1}{2}}$.*

*Proof.* We will prove the $j = 1$ case. Recall from formula (3.1)

$$\ddot{\eta} = 2^{-1}\ddot{E}(E+\mu)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - 4^{-1}\dot{E}^2(E+\mu)^{-\frac{3}{2}}.$$

For $0 \leq w - a_n^+ \lesssim |g_n|$ by Lemma 3.6 we have $0 \leq \dot{w} \lesssim (w-a_n^+)^{\frac{1}{2}}|g_n|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim 1$ and so in particular $\dot{E}^2 \lesssim \ell_n^2$. By Lemma 3.5 we have $|\ddot{E}| \geq c\ell_n|g_n|^{-1}$ for some fixed $c > 0$. Hence $|\ddot{\eta}| \gtrsim |g_n|^{-1}$. Then, by Lemmas 4.1-3 we obtain

$$|H_1^n(t,x,y)| \leq \frac{C\sqrt{|g_n|}}{\sqrt{t}} \int_{a_n^+}^{a_n^+ + c|g_n|} \frac{\frac{dk}{dw}dw}{|k-\pi\ell_n| + |g_n|}.$$

We have $\frac{dk}{dw} \approx \sqrt{|g_n|}(w-a_n^+)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and so $|k - \pi\ell_n| \approx \sqrt{|g_n|}\sqrt{w-a_n^+}$. Hence

$$|H_1^n(t,x,y)| \le \frac{C_1}{\sqrt{|g_n|t}} \int_{a_n^+}^{a_n^+ + c|g_n|} \frac{\sqrt{|g_n|}}{\sqrt{w-a_n^+}} dw \le \frac{C_2\sqrt{|g_n|}}{\sqrt{t}}.$$

The argument for $H_5^n$ is the same, by $\dot{E}^2 \lesssim \ell_{n+1}^2$ and $|\ddot{E}| \ge c\ell_{n+1}|g_{n+1}|^{-1}$.

Lemma 4.6 is an immediate consequence of the following lemma:

**Lemma 5.2.** *There is $C > 0$ such that $|H_2^n| \le C \min\{\langle \ell_n\rangle^{\frac{3}{2}} t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \log(1/|g_n|), |g_n|^{\frac{1}{4}}\}$.*

*Proof.* $H_2^n$ is defined by an integral for $w \in [a_n^+ + c|g_n|, a_n^+ + |g_n|^{\frac{1}{4}}]$. We claim we have $|\ddot{\eta}| \gtrsim \langle k\rangle^{-3}$. Assume this inequality. By Lemma 3.6 we have $\frac{dk}{dw} \approx 1$, $w - a_n^+ \approx k - \pi\ell_n$. So by Lemmas 4.1-3, by $k - \pi\ell_n \gtrsim |g_n|$ and proceeding as in Lemma 5.1

$$|H_3^n| \le C_1 t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \langle \ell_n\rangle^{\frac{3}{2}} \int_{a_n^+ + c|g_n|}^{a_n^+ + |g_n|^{\frac{1}{4}}} \frac{\frac{dk}{dw} dw}{|k - \pi\ell_n| + |g_n|} \le$$

$$\le C_2 t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \langle \ell_n\rangle^{\frac{3}{2}} \int_{a_n^+ + c|g_n|}^{a_n^+ + |g_n|^{\frac{1}{4}}} \frac{dw}{w - a_n^+} \le C_3 t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \langle \ell_n\rangle^{\frac{3}{2}} \log \frac{1}{|g_n|}.$$

By Lemma 4.2 we have also $|K_3^n| \le C|g_n|^{\frac{1}{4}}$. To prove $|\ddot{\eta}| \gtrsim \langle k\rangle^{-3}$ we write $4\ddot{\eta} = (2(E+\mu)\ddot{E} - \dot{E}^2)(E+\mu)^{-\frac{3}{2}}$ with $E = k^2 + 2Q_0 + O(k^{-2})$, $\dot{E}^2 = 4k^2 + O(1/k)$:

$$\ddot{\eta} = \frac{(2\ddot{E} - 4)k^2 + 2\ddot{E}(2Q_0 + \mu) + O(1/k)}{4(E+\mu)^{\frac{3}{2}}}.$$

For $w \in [a_n^+ + c|g_n|, a_n^+ + |g_n|^{\frac{1}{4}}]$ we have $k'' < 0$ and so as in Lemma 3.3

$$\ddot{E} = 2(\dot{w})^2 - 2w(\dot{w})^3 k'' \ge 2(\dot{w})^2 = 2(1 - 2Q_0 k^{-2} + O(k^{-3})).$$

So we get $\ddot{\eta} \ge (\mu + O(k^{-1}))(E+\mu)^{-\frac{3}{2}}$ and our claim is proved.

Lemma 4.7 is an immediate consequence of the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.3.** *There is a $C$ s.t. $|H_4^{n-1}| \le Ct^{-\frac{1}{3}}|g_{n-1}|^{1/30}$.*

*Proof.* $H_4^{n-1}$ is defined by an integral for $w \in [a_n^- - |g_n|^{\frac{3}{5}}, a_n^- - c|g_n|]$. By Lemma 4.3 [C] we have $|\dddot{\eta}| \gtrsim |g_n|^{-\frac{1}{10}}$. By Lemma 3.6 we have $\frac{dk}{dw} \approx 1$, $a_n^- - w \approx \pi\ell_n - k$, and so by Lemmas 4.1-3 and proceeding as in Lemma 5.1

$$|H_4^n| \le Ct^{-\frac{1}{3}}|g_n|^{\frac{1}{30}} \int_{a_n^- - |g_n|^{\frac{3}{5}}}^{a_n^- - \ell_n|g_n|} \frac{dw}{a_n^- - w} \le C_1 t^{-\frac{1}{3}}|g_n|^{\frac{1}{30}} \log \frac{1}{|g_n|}.$$

## §6 Proof of Lemma 4.8

For $K_3 = \sum_n K_3^n$ we show $|K_3(t,x,y)| \leq C\langle t\rangle^{-\frac{1}{3}}$ for $C$ fixed by reducing to the flat case of Lemma 5 [MSW], whose proof permeates this section. Set $\chi_{int}(k) = \sum_n \chi_1(\frac{w-a_n^+}{|g_n|^{\frac{1}{4}}})\chi_1(\frac{a_{n+1}^- - w}{|g_{n+1}|^{\frac{3}{5}}})$ supported inside the union of sets $a_n^+ + |g_n|^{\frac{1}{4}} \leq w \leq a_{n+1}^- - |g_{n+1}|^{\frac{3}{5}}$. Then for $R = x - y$

$$K_3(t,x,y) = 2\int_0^\infty \cos(Rk)\sin(t\eta(k))\eta^{-\frac{3}{2}}(k)m_-^0(x,k)m_+^0(y,k)\chi_{int}(k)dk.$$

We split the integral between $[0,t]$ and $[t,\infty)$. By Lemma 4.2 and by $\eta(k) \approx \langle k\rangle$ the $[t,\infty)$ integral has absolute value less than $C\langle t\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ for a fixed $C > 0$. Next write

$$\begin{aligned} &h_\pm(k) = t\eta(k) \pm Rk \\ &I_\pm(t) = \int_0^t e^{ih_\pm(k)}\eta^{-\frac{3}{2}}(k)m_-^0(x,k)m_+^0(y,k)\chi_{int}(k)dk \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

It is not restrictive to assume $R = x - y > 0$. We start with $I_-(t)$.

**Lemma 6.1.** *There is a fixed $C$ such that $|I_-(t)| \leq C\langle t\rangle^{-\frac{1}{3}}$.*

*Proof.* The proof ends in Lemmas 6.16. We set $I_-(t) = I_1(t) + I_2(t)$ with

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(t) &= \int_0^t e^{ih(k)}\eta^{-\frac{3}{2}}(k)\left(m_-^0(x,k)m_+^0(y,k) - 1\right)\chi_{int}(k)dk \\ I_2(t) &= \int_0^t e^{ih(k)}\eta^{-\frac{3}{2}}(k)\chi_{int}(k)dk \end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

To prove Lemma 6.1 we use:

**Lemma 6.2.** *In $supp(\chi_{int}) \cap [0,t]$ we have for fixed constants:*
*(1)* $0 < 1 - \dot\eta(k) < Q_0k^{-2} + O(k^{-3})$;
*(2)* $\ddot\eta(k) \gtrsim \langle k\rangle^{-3}$ *and so* $|\ddot h(k)| = t|\ddot\eta(k)| \gtrsim t\mu\eta^{-3} \approx t\langle k\rangle^{-3}$.
*(3) There is a fixed $C > 0$ such that for any $n$ sufficiently large we have*

$$|\dot E(k) - 2k| \leq \frac{C}{\langle k\rangle^2} \quad \text{for} \quad a_n^+ + \ell_n^3|g_n| \leq w \leq a_{n+1}^- - \ell_{n+1}^3|g_{n+1}|.$$

*(4) There are fixed constants $C > 0$, $C_1 > 0$ and $c > 0$ such that for any $n$ and any $k \in [\ell_n\pi, \ell_{n+1}\pi]$, that is for any $w \in [a_n^+, a_{n+1}^-]$, we have:*

$$a_n^+ + c|g_n| \leq w \leq a_{n+1}^- - C_1\ell_{n+1}^{\frac{1}{3}}|g_{n+1}|^{\frac{2}{3}} \Rightarrow \ddot E \approx \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\ell_n|g_n|^2}{|w - a_n^+|^3}.$$

*Proof.* For (3) see Lemma 7.1 [C], for (4) see Lemmas 4.2 and 7.4 [C]. (2) is proved as in Lemma 5.2. By construction $supp(\chi_{int}) \cap [0,\infty) \subset [\widetilde{k},\infty)$ for some $\widetilde{k} \approx n_0 \gg 1$. The following for $k \gg 1$, which uses (3) here and (4) Lemma 3.3, proves (1):

$$\dot\eta = \frac{\dot E}{2(E+\mu)^{\frac12}} = \frac{2k+O(k^{-2})}{2(k^2+2Q_0+O(k^{-2}))^{\frac12}} = 1 - Q_0k^{-2} + O(k^{-3}).$$

The following lemma coincides with Lemma 4.7 [C], with the proof scattered in Lemmas 5.2, 7.1, 7.4 and 7.6 [C]:

**Lemma 6.3.** *In the support of $\chi_{int}$ we have $w \approx k$, $\dot w = 1 + O(k^{-2})$, $\ddot w = O(k^{-3})$. We can extend $w$ from the support of $\chi_{int}$ to the whole of $\mathbb{R}$ so that the extension (which we denote again with $w$) satisfies the same relations and is an odd function.*

Thanks to Lemma 6.3 we obtain:

**Lemma 6.4.** *We can extend $\eta(k) = \sqrt{w^2(k)+\mu}$ to all $\mathbb{R}$ so that there are fixed positive $c_1$, $c_2$ so that $\ddot\eta(k) \geq c_1\langle k\rangle^{-3}$ and $|1-\dot\eta(k)| \leq c_2\langle k\rangle^{-2}$, and positive $c_3$, $c_4$ such that in $\mathbb{R}\backslash[-1,1]$, $c_3 \geq \dot\eta(k) \geq c_4$. Furthermore, from $\dot\eta = \frac{w\dot w}{\sqrt{w^2+\mu}}$ where $\mu \geq \mu_0 > 0$, from Lemma 6.3, $n_0 = n_0(\mu_0)$ can be chosen and the extension in Lemma 6.3 be done so that $|\dot\eta| < 1$ in $\mathbb{R}$.*

In the rest of the paper by $\eta(k)$ we will mean this extension and we will set $h(k) = t\eta(k) - Rk$. We have:

**Lemma 6.5.** *Consider the $h(k)$ just introduced.*
*(1) If $t \leq R$ then $|\dot h(k)| = R - t\dot\eta(k) \geq ct|k|^{-2}$ for a fixed $c > 0$.*
*(2) If $t > R$ then $\dot h(k)$ has exactly one zero in $[0,+\infty)$ which we denote by $k_0$.*
*(3) In case (2), if $k_0 > 2$, for $1 \leq k < k_0/2$ and for $k > 2k_0$ we have $|\dot h(k)| \geq ct|k|^{-2}$. If $k_0 \leq 2$ for $k > 2k_0$ we have $|\dot h(k)| \geq ct|k|^{-2}$.*

*Proof.* $|\dot\eta| < 1$ implies (1). Consider $t > R$. By $\dot h = tw\dot w(w^2+\mu)^{-\frac12} - R$ we have $\dot h(0) = -R$ and by Lemma 6.3 $\dot h \approx t - R > 0$ for $k \to \infty$. So there is a zero which by $\ddot h = t\ddot\eta \geq c_1 t\langle k\rangle^{-3} > 0$ is unique. We denote it by $k_0$. This gives us (2). We set $[a,b] = [1,t] \cap [k_0/2, 2k_0]$. For $k \in (1,a)$ by Lemma 6.5 we have $\dot h(k) < \dot h(2k) < 0$. So for some $\widetilde{k} \in [k,2k]$

$$|\dot h(k)| > \dot h(2k) - \dot h(k) = \ddot h(\widetilde{k})k > ctk^{-2}.$$

For $k > b \geq 2k_0$ we have $\dot h(k) > \dot h(k/2) > 0$ and for some $\widetilde{k} \in [k/2,k]$

$$|\dot h(k)| > \dot h(k) - \dot h(k/2) = \ddot h(\widetilde{k})k/2 > ctk^{-2}.$$

Lemmas 4.1 and 6.2-4 imply:

**Lemma 6.6.** *Let $\dot{H}(k) = e^{ih(k)}$ with $H(0) = 0$. Then for a fixed $c > 0$ we have $|H(k)| \le ct^{-\frac{1}{2}}\langle k\rangle^{\frac{3}{2}}$ for all $k \in [0, t]$.*

Next, we have the following analogue of Lemma 5 [MSW]:

**Lemma 6.7.** *For $|g(k)| = O(\langle k\rangle^{-\frac{5}{2}})$ we have*

$$\left|\int_0^t H(k)g(k)dk\right| \le C\langle t\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{1}$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 6.6, $|H(k)| \lesssim \langle t\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ for $|k| \le 2$. If $R \ge t$ by Lemma 6.4 we have $|\dot{h}(k)| \ge ctk^{-2}$. Then by Lemma 4.1 for $k \ge 1$ we have $|H(k)-H(2)| \le ct^{-1}k^2$. By $|H(2)| \le C\langle t\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and by $|g(k)| = O(\langle k\rangle^{-\frac{5}{2}})$ we obtain (1). If $R < t$ by Lemma 6.4 $\dot{h}(k)$ has one zero which we denote by $k_0$. If $k_0 \le 2$ we can repeat the above argument. If $k_0 > 2$ set $[a, b] = [1, t] \cap [k_0/2, 2k_0]$ as in Lemma 6.5. For $k \in (1, a)$ and $p < k$ by Lemma 6.5

$$|\dot{h}(p)| > ctp^{-2} > Ctk^{-2}.$$

By Lemmas 4.1 and 6.5 $|H(k)| \le ck^2t^{-1}$. Then we get

$$\left|\int_1^a H(k)g(k)dk\right| \le Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

For $k > p > b \ge 2k_0$ by Lemma 6.5

$$|\dot{h}(p)| > ctp^{-2} > Ctk^{-2}.$$

By Lemmas 4.1 and 6.6 $|H(k) - H(b)| \le ck^2t^{-1}$. Then we get

$$\left|\int_b^t (H(k) - H(b))\, g(k)dk\right| \le Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

By Lemma 6.6

$$\left|\int_b^t H(b)g(k)dk\right| \le Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}}\langle b\rangle^{\frac{3}{2}}b^{-\frac{3}{2}}.$$

Finally

$$\left|\int_a^b H(k)g(k)dk\right| \le Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}}\int_{\frac{k_0}{2}}^{2k_0}\frac{dk}{k} \le Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}}2\log 2.$$

**Lemma 6.8.** *There is a fixed $C$ such that for the $I_2(t)$ in (6.2), $|I_2(t)| \le C\langle t\rangle^{-\frac{1}{3}}$.*

*Proof.* Write $\chi_{int}(k) = 1 - \chi_{ext}(k)$ and correspondingly $I_2(t) = I_{21}(t) - I_{22}(t)$ with $I_{21}(t) = \int_0^t e^{ih(k)}\eta^{-\frac{3}{2}}(k)dk$ and $I_{22}(t) = \int_0^t e^{ih(k)}\chi_{ext}(k)\eta^{-\frac{3}{2}}(k)dk$. Then:

**Lemma 6.9.** *There is a fixed $C$ such that $|I_{21}(t)| \le C\langle t\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.*

**Lemma 6.10.** *There is a fixed $C$ such that $|I_{22}(t)| \le C\langle t\rangle^{-\frac{1}{3}}$.*

*Proof of Lemma 6.9.* We have

$$I_{21}(t) = \frac{3}{2}\int_0^t H(k)\eta^{-\frac{5}{2}}(k)\dot\eta(k)dk + H(t)\eta^{-\frac{3}{2}}(t).$$

$|H(t)| \le t$ and $\eta(t) \approx \langle t\rangle$ imply $|H(t)\eta^{-\frac{3}{2}}(t)| \lesssim \langle t\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. We have $\eta(k) \approx k$ and $|\dot\eta| \lesssim 1$. So $g(k) := \eta^{-\frac{5}{2}}(k)\dot\eta(k) = O(\langle k\rangle^{-\frac{5}{2}})$. Then Lemma 6.7 implies Lemma 6.9.

*Proof of Lemma 6.10.* For $J_n = [\ell_n\pi - C|g_n|^{\frac{3}{5}}, \ell_n\pi + C|g_n|^{\frac{1}{4}}]$ for some fixed $C \gtrsim 1$, set

$$I_{22}(t) = \int_0^t e^{ih(k)}\eta^{-\frac{3}{2}}(k)\chi_{ext}(k)dk = -I_{221}(t) - I_{222}(t)\,, \quad I_{221}(t) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\int_{[0,t]\cap J_n}$$
$$\times\, H_n(k)\chi_{ext}(k)\frac{d}{dk}\eta^{-\frac{3}{2}}(k)dk\,, \quad I_{222}(t) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\int_{[0,t]\cap J_n} H_n(k)\eta^{-\frac{3}{2}}(k)\dot\chi_{ext}(k)dk,$$

with $\dot H_n(k) = e^{ih(k)}$, $H_n(\ell_n) = 0$. By $\ddot h(k) = t\ddot\eta(k)$, $c_1\langle k\rangle^{-3} \le \ddot\eta(k)$, $|\dot\eta| < 1$ and Lemma 6.6 which implies $|H_n(k)| \le Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}}\langle k\rangle^{\frac{3}{2}}$ for $C$ fixed,

$$|H_n(k)\chi_{ext}(k)\eta^{-\frac{5}{2}}(k)\dot\eta(k)| \le Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}}\langle k\rangle^{\frac{3}{2}}\langle k\rangle^{-\frac{5}{2}}$$

so $|I_{221}(t)| \le Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{n=1}^{[t]}\langle n\rangle^{-1} \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}}|\log t|$. By $|H_n(k)| \le C\min\{t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\langle k\rangle^{\frac{3}{2}}), |g_n|^{\frac{1}{4}}\}$

$$\int_{[0,t]\cap J_n} |H_n(k)\eta^{-\frac{3}{2}}(k)\dot\chi_{ext}(k)|dk \le \min\{t^{-\frac{1}{2}}, |g_n|^{\frac{1}{4}}\langle\ell_n\rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}}\}.$$

This by Theorem 2.3 implies $|I_{222}(t)| \le Ct^{-\frac{1}{3}}$.

**Lemma 6.11.** *There is a fixed $C$ such that $|I_1(t)| \le C\langle t\rangle^{-\frac{1}{3}}$.*

*Proof.* We fix some small $\varepsilon > 0$ and split $I_1(t) = I_{11}(t) + I_{12}(t)$ with

$$\widetilde\chi_{int}(k) := \sum_n \chi_1\left(\varepsilon^{-1}(k - \pi\ell_n)\right)\chi_1\left(\varepsilon^{-1}(\ell_{n+1}\pi - k)\right)$$
$$I_{11}(t) := \int_0^t e^{ih(k)}\eta^{-\frac{3}{2}}(k)\left(m_-^0(x,k)m_+^0(y,k) - 1\right)\widetilde\chi_{int}(k)dk$$
$$I_{12}(t) := \int_0^t e^{ih(k)}\eta^{-\frac{3}{2}}(k)\left(m_-^0(x,k)m_+^0(y,k) - 1\right)\chi_{int}(k)(1 - \widetilde\chi_{int}(k))dk.$$

**Lemma 6.12.** *There is a fixed $C$ such that $|I_{11}(t)| \leq C\langle t\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.*

*Proof.* We have $m_-^0(x,k)m_+^0(y,k) - 1 = O(k^{-1})$ and $\partial_k\left(m_-^0(x,k)m_+^0(y,k)\right) = O(k^{-1})$ in the support of $\widetilde{\chi}_{int}(k)$. Then Lemma 6.7 implies Lemma 6.12.

**Lemma 6.13.** *There is a fixed $C$ such that $|I_{12}(t)| \leq C\langle t\rangle^{-\frac{1}{3}}$.*

*Proof.* We consider $I_{12}(t) = \sum_n I_{12}^n(t)$, $I_{12}^n(t) := \int_{\ell_n\pi}^{\ell_{n+1}\pi} e^{ih(k)}f(k)dk$

$$f(k) := \Psi_n(k)\eta^{-\frac{3}{2}}(k)\left(m_-^0(x,k)m_+^0(y,k) - 1\right) \text{ where}$$
$$\Psi_n(k) := \chi_1(\frac{w - a_n^+}{|g_n|^{\frac{3}{5}}})\chi_1(\frac{a_{n+1}^- - w}{|g_{n+1}|^{\frac{1}{4}}})\chi_0(\frac{k - \pi\ell_n}{\varepsilon})\chi_0(\frac{\ell_{n+1}\pi - k}{\varepsilon}).$$

Observe that $\Psi_n(k) = \Psi_{n1}(k) + \Psi_{n2}(k)$ with $\Psi_{n1}(k)$ supported in $|g_n|^{\frac{1}{4}} \lesssim k - \pi\ell_n \lesssim \varepsilon$ and with $\Psi_{n2}(k)$ supported in $\varepsilon \gtrsim \pi\ell_{n+1} - k \gtrsim |g_{n+1}|^{\frac{3}{5}}$. Correspondingly write $f = f_1 + f_2$ and $I_{12}^n = I_{12}^{n1} + I_{12}^{n2}$. We have:

**Lemma 6.14.** *For a fixed $C$ and for $j = 1, 2$: $\sum_n |I_{12}^{nj}(t)| \leq C\langle t\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}}|\log t|$.*

*Proof.* We focus on $I_{12}^{n1}$, the proof for $I_{12}^{n2}$ being almost the same. We have

$$I_{12}^{n1}(t,x,y) = \int_{\ell_n\pi}^{(\ell_n+\frac{1}{2})\pi} \dot{H}_n(k)f_1(k)dk \text{ with } H_n(k) = \int_{\ell_n\pi}^{k} e^{ih(k')}dk'.$$

For $I_{12}^{n2}$ the proof is the same but with $H_n(k) = \int_{\ell_{n+1}\pi}^{k} e^{ith(k')}dk'$. We get

$$I_{12}^{n1}(t) = -I_{121}^{n1}(t) - I_{122}^{n1}(t) \text{ with}$$
$$I_{121}^{n1}(t) = \int_{\ell_n\pi}^{(\ell_n+\frac{1}{2})\pi} H_n(k)\partial_k\left(\Psi_{n1}(k)\eta^{-\frac{3}{2}}(k)\right)\left(m_-^0(x,k)m_+^0(y,k) - 1\right)dk$$
$$I_{122}^{n1}(t) = \int_{\ell_n\pi}^{(\ell_n+\frac{1}{2})\pi} H_n(k)\Psi_{n1}(k)\eta^{-\frac{3}{2}}(k)\partial_k\left(m_-^0(x,k)m_+^0(y,k) - 1\right)dk.$$

**Lemma 6.15.** *There is a fixed $C > 0$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |I_{121}^{n1}(t)| \leq C\langle t\rangle^{-\frac{1}{3}}$.*

*Proof.* Set

$$\partial_k\left(\Psi_{n1}(k)\eta^{-\frac{3}{2}}(k)\right) = -\frac{3}{2}\Psi_{n1}(k)\eta^{-\frac{5}{2}}(k)\dot{\eta}(k) + \dot{\Psi}_{n1}(k)\eta^{-\frac{3}{2}}(k) =: a(k) + b(k).$$

We have $a(k) = O(k^{-\frac{5}{2}})$ and

$$|b(k)| \leq C(\varepsilon^{-1}k^{-\frac{3}{2}}\chi_{I_1}(k) + |g_n|^{-\frac{1}{4}}k^{-\frac{3}{2}}\chi_{I_2}(k))$$

with length of $I_1 \approx \varepsilon$ and length of $I_2 \approx |g_n|^{\frac{1}{4}}$. Recall by Lemma 4.2,

$$\big(m_-^0(x,k)m_+^0(y,k)-1\big) = O(k^{-1}).$$

For $t \le R$ then $\dot h \ge ct\langle k\rangle^{-2}$. From the estimates on $a(k)$ and $b(k)$ we get $|I_{121}^{n1}(t)| \le Ct^{-1}\ell_n^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Summing up over $\ell_n \lesssim t$ we get much less than $t^{-\frac{1}{3}}$. For $t > R$ and for the critical point $k_0 > 2$ (otherwise proceed as above) distinguish between two cases

Case 1: $\pi\ell_n$ outside $[k_0/2-\pi, 2k_0+\pi]$. Then $|\dot h| \ge ct\langle k\rangle^{-2}$ by Lemma 6.5 and $|I_{121}^{n1}(t)| \le Ct^{-1}\ell_n^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Summing up over $\ell_n \le t$ we get $O(t^{-\frac{1}{2}})$.

Case 2: $\pi\ell_n$ inside $[k_0/2-\pi, 2k_0+\pi]$. Then by Lemma 6.4 we have $|H_n(k)| \le Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}}\langle k\rangle^{\frac{3}{2}}$ and $|I_{121}^{n1}(t)| \le Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}}\ell_n^{-1}$. Summing up over $\ell_n \approx k_0$ we get $O(t^{-\frac{1}{2}})$.

**Lemma 6.16.** *There is a fixed $C > 0$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |I_{122}^{n1}(t)| \le C\langle t\rangle^{-\frac{1}{3}}$.*

(1) Suppose $t > R$. Then $|\dot h(k)| \ge ct\langle k\rangle^{-2}$. For a fixed $C$ by Lemma 4.1

$$\Big|\int_{\ell_n\pi}^{k} e^{ih(k')}dk'\Big| \le \min\{C\langle k\rangle^2 t^{-1}, |k-\pi\ell_n|\}. \tag{6.3}$$

Next we split $I_{122}^n(t) = \int_{\ell_n\pi}^{\ell_n\pi+t^{-1}} \cdots + \int_{\ell_n\pi+t^{-1}}^{(\ell_n+\frac{1}{2})\pi} \ldots$. By Lemma 4.3

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big|\int_{\ell_n\pi}^{\ell_n\pi+t^{-1}} H_n(k)\Psi_{n1}(k)\eta^{-\frac{3}{2}}(k)\partial_k\left(m_-^0(x,k)m_+^0(y,k)\right)\Big| \\ &\le C\int_{\ell_n\pi}^{\ell_n\pi+t^{-1}} |k-\pi\ell_n||k-\pi\ell_n|^{-1}\langle\ell_n\rangle^{-\frac{5}{2}}dk = Ct^{-1}\langle\ell_n\rangle^{-\frac{5}{2}}\end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

and

$$\Big|\int_{\ell_n\pi+t^{-1}}^{(\ell_n+\frac{1}{2})\pi} \ldots\Big| \le \int_{\ell_n\pi+t^{-1}}^{(\ell_n+\frac{1}{2})\pi} Ct^{-1}\langle k\rangle^2|k-\pi\ell_n|^{-1}\langle\ell_n\rangle^{-\frac{5}{2}} \tag{6.5}$$

and so $|I_{122}^n(t)| \le C\langle\ell_n\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}}t^{-1}\log t$. Then $\sum|I_{122}^n(t)| \le Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}}\log t$.

(2) Suppose $t < R$. Then there is a unique $k_0 > 0$ with $\dot h(k_0) = 0$. If $k_0 \le 2$ we have $\dot h(k) \ge ct\langle k\rangle^{-2}$ in the support of the integrands and we can apply the argument in (1). If $k_0 > 2$ set $[a,b] = [1,t] \cap [k_0/2, 2k_0]$. Then consider $\ell_n \le a - \pi/2$. Then for a fixed $C$ we get (6.3) and by proceeding as in the case $t > R$ we can split again and obtain estimates (6.4-5). Same is true for $\ell_n \ge b$. Summing up over all these $\ell_n \lesssim t$ we get $\sum|I_{122}^n(t)| \le Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}}\log t$. For $a - \pi/2 < \ell_n < b$ for a fixed $C$

$$\Big|\int_{\ell_n\pi}^{k} e^{ith(k')}dk'\Big| \le \min\{Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}}k^{\frac{3}{2}}, |k-\pi\ell_n|\}.$$

Next we split

$$I^n_{122}(t) = \int_{\ell_n\pi}^{\ell_n\pi+t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\ell_n^{\frac{3}{2}}} \cdots + \int_{\ell_n\pi+t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\ell_n^{\frac{3}{2}}}^{(\ell_n+\frac{1}{2})\pi} \cdots$$

But now

$$\Big|\int_{\ell_n\pi}^{\ell_n\pi+t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\ell_n^{\frac{3}{2}}} \dots\Big| \le C\int_{\ell_n\pi}^{\ell_n\pi+t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\ell_n^{\frac{3}{2}}} |k-\pi\ell_n||k-\pi\ell_n|^{-1}\langle\ell_n\rangle^{-\frac{5}{2}} = Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}}\ell_n^{-1}$$

and

$$\Big|\int_{\ell_n\pi+t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\ell_n^{\frac{3}{2}}}^{(\ell_n+\frac{1}{2})\pi} \dots\Big| \le \int_{\ell_n\pi+t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\ell_n^{\frac{3}{2}}}^{(\ell_n+\frac{1}{2})\pi} t^{-\frac{1}{2}}|k-\pi\ell_n|^{-1}\langle\ell_n\rangle^{-1}$$

and so $|I^n_{122}(t)| \le C\langle\ell_n\rangle^{-1}t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\log t$. Then $\sum|I^n_{122}(t)| \le Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}}\log t$.

To complete the proof of Lemma 4.9 we have to prove the following lemma whose proof is analogous to the proof for $I_-(t)$ in the easier case $t < R$ and which we skip:

**Lemma 6.17.** *There is a fixed $C$ such that $|I_+(t)| \le C\langle t\rangle^{-\frac{1}{3}}$.*

## References


[C] S.Cuccagna, *Dispersion for Schrödinger equation with periodic potential in 1D*, http://www.dismi.unimo.it/Members/scuccagna/pubblicazioni.

[E] M. Eastham, *The spectral theory of periodic differential operators*, Scottish Academic Press, London, 1973.

[MSW] B.Marshall, W.Strauss, S.Wainger, *$L^p - L^q$ estimates for the Klein-Gordon Equation*, J.Math. pures et appl. **59** (1980), 417–440.

[K1] E.Korotyaev, *The propagation of the waves in periodic media at large time*, Asymptot. Anal. **15** (1997), 1–24.

[K2] ———, *Some properties of the quasimomentum of the one-dimensional Hill operator*, J. Soviet Math. **6** (1992), 3081–3087.

[S] E.M.Stein, *Harmonic analysis*, Princeton math. series 43, Princeton U. Press, 1993.



DISMI University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Reggio Emilia 42100 Italy
*E-mail address*: cuccagna.scipio@unimore.it